

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/719,326	02/08/2001	Karin Loffler	D078 1110	7007
7590 01/06/2006			EXAMINER	
James F Vaughan P O Box 725388			COLE, ELIZABETH M	
Atlanta, GA 3	-	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•			1771	
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/719,326	LOFFLER, KARIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Elizabeth M. Cole	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 2-16,18-23 and 25-31 is/are pending if 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 2-16, 18-23, 25-31 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b)  objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. OTHOS  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/719,326 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/24/05 has been entered.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-16, 18-23, 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 07-011755, (machine translation attached) in view of Edinger et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,923,237. JP '755 discloses a multilayer flexible floor tile which is useful as a substitute for carpet comprising a first layer 11 and a second layer having a nonwoven fabric reinforcement embedded in a synthetic resin such as PVC. See figure 5 and paragraph 0013 of the machine translation. With regard to the claimed basis weight, since the fabric functions as a decorative and reinforcing component in the tile of JP '755 it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected the basis weight through the process of routine experimentation which resulted in the optimal strength for the tile. While JP '755 does disclose that the PVC can be foamed, JP '755 does not explicitly state that the PVC is foamed by a foaming agent. Edinger teaches in the background of the invention section at col. 1, lines 30-33. Therefore, it

Application/Control Number: 09/719,326

Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

would have been obvious to have employed a foaming agent in the PVC of JP '755, since JP '755 teaches that the resin may be foamed and Edinger teaches that foaming agents were known to be useful to foam PVC resins in flooring tiles. With regard to claim 2, presumably the nonwoven material employed is a dry nonwoven material since it does not comprise additional additives or wetting agents. With regard to claim 3, the nonwoven may comprise rayon fibers, (which are made from regenerated cellulose). With regard to claim 4, the nonwoven can be patterned either in a regular or random pattern. See paragraph 0013. With regard to claim 5, the thickness of the layers is about 1-5 mm. See paragraph 0009. With regard to claims 6-7, the resin material can be PVC. With regard to claim 29, the resin can be transparent. See paragraph 0013. With regard to claim 19, JP '755 does not disclose the use of additional fillers. With regard to claim 22, JP '755 includes an additional carrier layer 11. See drawings. With regard to claim 26, JP '755 teachers forming the reinforced layer and combining the reinforced layer with a carrier layer such as layer 11. With regard to claim 30, PVC is a plastisol.

4. With regard to claims 8-16, 20-21, 23, 26, 31, JP '755 does not disclose the use of the claimed resins. Edinger describes floor coverings where in the cover layers can be made from a combination of epoxidation products of sub esters of polycarboxylic acids with polyethylene glycols. See col. 5, lines 15-43. Edinger teaches that the resin components can be used in making floor tiles and are an improvement over PVC because PVC produces negative ecological effects. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have

Application/Control Number: 09/719,326 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

employed the resin composition of Edinger instead of the PVC of JP '755, motivated by the expectation that this would produce a floor tile which would not have a negative impact on the environment. With regard to the recitation of additional layers, Edinger teaches that flooring tiles can comprise multiple reinforcing layers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have added additional layers to the material of JP "755 in order to further reinforce the tile material of JP '755.

5. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300.

Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1771